

Alfred Street Precinct, North Sydney Transport Impact Assessment

Prepared for:

Benmill Pty Ltd & JB No. 3 Pty Ltd

17 November 2023

The Transport Planning Partnership



Alfred Street Precinct, North Sydney Transport Impact Assessment

Client: Benmill Pty Ltd & JB No. 3 Pty Ltd

Version: V02

Date:17 November 2023

TTPP Reference: 18387

Quality Record

Version	Date	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Signature
V01	21/03/19	Kenta Lam	Jessica Szeto	Ken Hollyoak	Ken Hollyoak
V02	17/11/23	Jessica Ng	Jessica Ng	Ken Hollyoak	KIMYL



Table of Contents

1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Project Background	1
	1.2	Report Structure	2
2	Exist	ing Conditions	3
	2.1	Site Description	3
	2.2	Abutting Road Network	4
		2.2.1 Alfred Street North	4
		2.2.2 Little Alfred Street	5
		2.2.3 Whaling Road	5
	2.3	Public Transport Facilities	6
	2.4	Pedestrian and Cycle Infrastructure	10
	2.5	Traffic Volumes	11
3	Prop	posed Development	13
	3.1	Development Description	13
	3.2	Proposed Pedestrian and Vehicle Access Arrangements	14
	3.3	Loading Facilities	15
4	Park	ring Assessment	16
	4.1	Car Parking Requirement	16
		4.1.1 North Sydney Development Control Plan	16
	4.2	Bicycle Parking Requirement	17
	4.3	Motorcycle Parking Requirement	18
5	Traff	fic Assessment	20
	5.1	Existing Traffic Generation	20
	5.2	Future Traffic Generation	21
	5.3	Traffic Distribution	23
	5.4	Traffic Modelling Scenarios	23
	5.5	Verification of 2018 Traffic Surveys	23
	5.6	Traffic Volumes	24
	5.7	Intersection Capacity Assessment	25
6	Con	nclusion	28



Tables

Table 1.1: Comparison of 2019 and Current Scheme	2
Table 2.1: Public Transport Services	9
Table 3.1: Proposed Development Yields	14
Table 4.1: Car Parking Requirement (DCP2013)	16
Table 4.2: Development Parking Requirements - ADG Rec	quirements17
Table 4.3: Bicycle Parking Requirement (DCP2013)	18
Table 4.4: Motorcycle Parking Requirement (DCP2013)	18
Table 5.1: Existing Traffic Generation Potential of the Site .	20
Table 5.2: Proposed Development Traffic Generation Pote	ential21
Table 5.3: Level of Service for Intersection Operation	26
Table 5.4: Intersection Operation Results	27
Figures	
Figure 2.1: Site Locality	3
Figure 2.2: Alfred Street North (looking south)	4
Figure 2.3: Alfred Street North (looking north)	4
Figure 2.4: Little Alfred Street (looking north from Whaling	Road) 5
Figure 2.5: Whaling Road (looking west onto Alfred Street	North)6
Figure 2.6: Site Proximity to Public Transport	7
Figure 2.7: Public Transport Map	8
Figure 2.8: Surrounding Cycle Routes	11
Figure 2.9: Existing Network Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	12
Figure 3.1: Proposed Indicative Vehicle Access Locations	
Figure 5.1: Comparison of 2018 and 2023 Traffic Volumes	24
Figure 5.2: S2 Traffic Volumes (AM Peak)	25
Figure 5.3: S2 Traffic Volumes (PM Peak)	25

APPENDICES

- A. CONCEPT LAYOUT PLANS
- B. SIDRA RESULTS



1 Introduction

The Transport Planning Partnership (TTPP) has been commissioned by Benmill Pty Ltd & JB No. 3 Pty Ltd to prepare this transport impact assessment report to accompany the revised planning proposal to North Sydney Municipal Council (Council) for the entire Alfred Street Precinct.

The proposal comprises the following four key sites:

- Site A 283 Alfred Street
- Site B 275 Alfred Street
- Site C 271-273 Alfred Street
- Site D 263-269 Alfred Street & 4 Little Alfred Street.

The proposal seeks approval to:

- Rezone the Precinct (Sites A, B, C and D) from E2 Commercial Centre to MU1 Mixed Use
- Remove FSR controls from the Precinct (Sites A, B, C and D)
- Increase the maximum building height for Sites A and B from 13m to:
 - Site A (283 Alfred Street): RL 69.00
 - Site B (275 Alfred Street): RL 120.00
- Introduce a Design Excellence Clause and Map into the North Sydney LEP 2013 that:
 - Requires a Design Excellence Competition to be held where a proposed building on Site B (275 Alfred Steet) exceeds RL 101.00

1.1 Project Background

On 3 September 2015, a planning proposal for 275 Alfred Street, North Sydney (the 'Bayer Building'), was submitted to Council. On 15 February 2016, Council resolved that "any changes to the planning controls for the precinct be considered holistically and involve all landowners in the context of a comprehensive strategic planning study for the locality which includes planning for defined public benefits for any additional residential density."

Subsequent to this, Benmill (the landowners of the Bayer Building) was commissioned to prepare a revised planning proposal for the entire Alfred Street Precinct. This revised planning proposal was submitted in 2019, which included a holistic assessment of the cumulative traffic and parking implications associated with the entire Alfred Street Precinct planning proposal.

Since the 2019 submission, extensive consultation with the Department of Planning and District Planning Panel (SNPP) has been undertaken in relation to the proposed scheme. Based on



feedback received, it was agreed to update the planning proposal scheme to remove any development uplift from sites C and D.

On this basis, this transport impact assessment has been updated to reflect the updated scheme. It is noted that the proposed scheme only contains development uplift on Sites A and B, while the previous 2019 scheme included uplift across all four sites on Sites A, B, C and D. Therefore, the traffic generation impacts will be less than the previous 2019 scheme.

A comparison of the previous 2019 scheme and current scheme (of which this report relates to) is provided in Table 1.1

Table 1.1: Comparison of 2019 and Current Scheme

Land Use	2019 Scheme	Current Scheme	Net Difference	
Residential	156 units	131 units	-25 units	
Commercial/Retail	10,127m ²	1,490m² GFA	-8,637m ² GFA	

The current scheme has been updated based on feedback received from the Department of Planning and SNPP.

1.2 Report Structure

The remainder of the report is set out as follows:

- Chapter 2 discusses the existing conditions including a description of the subject site
- Chapter 3 provides a brief overview of the proposed development
- Chapter 4 assesses the proposed on-site parking provision and internal layout
- Chapter 5 examines the traffic generation of the proposed development
- Chapter 6 presents the summary and conclusion of the assessment.



2 Existing Conditions

2.1 Site Description

The Alfred Street Precinct (the 'site') is generally bound by Little Alfred Street, Alfred Street North and Whaling Road along the east, west and south boundaries of the site respectively. The site falls within the local government area of North Sydney Municipal Council. The site is separated from the North Sydney CBD by the Warringah Expressway to the west.

At present, the site is currently occupied by some 33 residential flat dwellings at 263-269 Alfred Street North and 4 Little Alfred Street and three commercial office buildings at 271-273, 275 and 283 Alfred Street North, including the existing 17-storey Bayer Building.

The site location and its surrounds are shown in Figure 2.1.

DriveAway Holidays Warringa Park stralia Post Australian Society Kurraba Rd NAB ATM of Anaesthetists: Harry... Kurraba Rd Mount St Hotel 🕡 Fitness First Q Coles North Sydney ALDI North Sydney Subject Site Anderson Park nwood Chinese 🖣 Gas Ln North Sydney Greenwood Hotel Infosys Technologies Zurich Financial Australia rvices Australia Clark Rd Proposed North Sydney Station **B4** Zoning North Sydney HighSt MacKenzie St M1 Em's Northshore James Milson Nursing Home

Figure 2.1: Site Locality

Basemap Source: Google Maps Australia

Land uses surrounding the site primarily comprise low-medium density residential dwellings and public recreational parks to the north, east and south and the North Sydney CBD to the west. In addition, the North Sydney Railway Station is conveniently located about 400m southwest of the site, which is an approximate eight-minute walk to the station.



It should also be noted that the site is within close proximity of the future Victoria Cross Metro Station which is expected to commence operations in 2024. The Victoria Cross Metro Station seeks to provide services every 4 minutes during peak hours with a travel time of 9 minutes to Central Station. The station is located some 700m north-west of the site beneath Miller Street between McLaren Street and Berry Street.

2.2 Abutting Road Network

The site is surrounded by a network of local roads, including Alfred Street North, Little Alfred Street and Whaling Road to the east, west and south boundaries of the site respectively. A brief description of these roads is provided below.

2.2.1 Alfred Street North

Within the immediate vicinity of the site, Alfred Street North is configured as a three-lane one-way southbound local road between Mount Street and Whaling Road and travels along the western boundary of the site. The inner lane on Alfred Street North becomes a dedicated left-turn lane into Whaling Road. The road continues south onto High Street to provide good linkages to the wider arterial road network, including Pacific Highway and Warringah Freeway/Bradfield Highway.

In addition to this, a kerbside car parking lane is provided on the east side of the road. A loading zone is provided along the frontage of the site, which operates between 8:30am to 6:00pm, Monday to Friday and caters for approx. 6-7 commercial vehicles. There is also one hour restricted kerbside parallel parking between 8:30am to 5:30pm, Monday to Friday, as well as dedicated motorcycle parking for about say three motorcycles and a mail zone along Alfred Street North towards Whaling Road.

The road is shown in Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3.

Figure 2.2: Alfred Street North (looking south)



Figure 2.3: Alfred Street North (looking north)





2.2.2 Little Alfred Street

Little Alfred Street is an undivided two-way local road extending along the eastern boundary of the site. The road carriageway is approximately 5.8m wide with parking along the western side of the road. Parking is restricted with one-hour parking restrictions between 8:30am to 6:00pm, Monday to Friday with resident permit holders excepted.

The road provides connectivity to/from Ormiston Avenue and Neutral Street via a two-way loop road onto Whaling Road and predominately services commercial traffic to/from the properties fronting Alfred Street North. The road also services residential traffic to properties to the north on Neutral Street and Bray Street and to the east on Ormiston Avenue and Neutral Street. The road is shown in Figure 2.4.





2.2.3 Whaling Road


Within the immediate vicinity of the site, Whaling Road is an undivided two-way local road adjoining with Alfred Street North and Little Alfred Street in an east-west alignment. The road is configured with a road carriageway width of approximately 12.0m with two-hour kerbside parallel parking provided on both sides between 8:30am to 6:00pm, Monday to Friday with resident permit holders excepted. A posted speed limit of 50km/h is applicable.

The road predominately services residential traffic and commercial traffic associated from the site and functions as the only connection to the wider arterial network for surrounding properties via Alfred Street North. At the intersection with Alfred Street North, traffic is restricted to left-out only restrictions onto Alfred Street North, whereby traffic would continue onto High Street to travel onto Pacific Highway or the Warringah Freeway/Bradfield Highway.



The road is shown in Figure 2.5.

Figure 2.5: Whaling Road (looking west onto Alfred Street North)



2.3 Public Transport Facilities


High frequency public transport services are available at the North Sydney bus interchange and North Sydney Railway Station located approximately 400m west of the site. North Sydney Station provides frequent train services to Hornsby, Chatswood and Sydney CBD via the T1 North Shore Line & Western Line and T9 Northern Line. These services generally operate every 3-5 minute during peak times and 5-10 minutes during off peak times.

In addition to this, multiple bus services are accessible at the North Sydney bus interchange to various northern suburbs such as Cherrybrook, Epping, Ryde and Manly.

Figure 2.6 below shows the site proximity to existing public transport facilities, with a map of the existing facilities provided in Figure 2.7. It is also noted that the Neutral Bay wharf is located an approximate 15-minute walk from the site and that the location of the Victoria Cross Metro Station is indicative.




Figure 2.6: Site Proximity to Public Transport



Basemap Source: Google Maps Australia



Figure 2.7: Public Transport Map



Basemap Source: TfNSW North West Sydney Bus Network Map (accessed on 16/11/2023)



A summary of the existing bus services and associated frequencies near the site is presented in Table 2.1. This does not include any school bus services, night services and temporary bus services.

Table 2.1: Public Transport Services

		Morning Peak (7:0	00 am - 9:00 am)	Off-Peak (11:00 am - 1:00 pm)		
Route	Route Description	Number of Services	Frequency (mins)	Number of Services	Frequency (mins)	
115	Newcastle to Central via Strathfield or Gordon	10	12	6	20	
150X	Manly to North Sydney (Night Service)	10	12	0	N/A	
154X	Manly to Milsons Point (Express Service)	14	9	0	N/A	
200	Dee Why to Milsons Point (Express Service)	6	20	0	N/A	
202	Milsons Point to Dee Why (Express Service)	6	20	4	30	
203	Bondi Junction to Gore Hill	3	40	0	N/A	
207	City Bridge St to Northbridge via North Sydney	6	20	4	30	
208	Northbridge to City Bridge St via North Sydney	0	N/A	0	N/A	
209	Milsons Point to Castlecrag via North Sydney	9	13	0	N/A	
228	City Bridge St to East Lindfield via North Sydney & Northbridge	2	60	0	N/A	
229	East Lindfield to Milsons Point via North Sydney	1	120	2	60	
230	Clifton Gardens to Milsons Point	14	9	7	17	
252	Beauty Point to Milsons Point via Balmoral Heights	5	24	5	24	
254	Milsons Point to Beauty Point via Balmoral Heights	4	30	2	60	
260	Milsons Point to Mosman Wharf via North Sydney	4	30	0	N/A	
261	Mosman Wharf to Milsons Point via North Sydney	6	20	2	60	
263	City King Street Wharf to Gladesville via North Sydney	9	13	3	40	
265	Riverview to McMahons Point via North Sydney	5	24	2	60	
269	Terrey Hills to North Sydney	1	120	4	30	



		Morning Peak (7:0	00 am - 9:00 am)	Off-Peak (11:00 am - 1:00 pm)	
Route	Route Description	Number of Services	Frequency (mins)	Number of Services	Frequency (mins)
286	City Bridge St to Crows Nest via Cremorne	3	40	0	N/A
287	Crows Nest to City Bridge St via Cremorne	6	20	0	N/A
290	McMahons Point to North Sydney	0	N/A	0	N/A
291	McMahons Point to North Sydney	5	24	2	60
320	Denistone East to Milsons Point via St Leonards & North Sydney	12	10	8	15
602X	Denistone East to Milsons Point via St Leonards & North Sydney	9	13	0	N/A
612X	Denistone East to Milsons Point via St Leonards & North Sydney	12	10	0	N/A
622	Milsons Point to Denistone East via North Sydney & St Leonards	5	24	0	N/A
T1	Ryde to Milsons Point via St Leonards & North Sydney	30	4	20	6
Т9	City Erskine St to Epping via North Sydney (Night Service)	9	13	8	15

Source: Transport for NSW (timetable information on Thursday 2 November 2023)

2.4 Pedestrian and Cycle Infrastructure

Well-established pedestrian facilities are provided within the vicinity of the site. Sealed footpaths are present on both sides of surrounding local roads. The exception to this is on Alfred Street North, where a sealed footpath is only provided on the east side of the road.

Pedestrian connectivity to the North Sydney CBD is available via the pedestrian footpath on the northern side of Alfred Street North-Mount Street overpass. Signalised and non-signalised pedestrian crossings at the Alfred Street North-Mount Street intersection offer safe accessibility to the overpass.

In addition to this, suggested unmarked cycle routes are present in the vicinity of the site along Alfred Street North and High Street. These routes provide linkages to on and off-road cycle paths, which provide good connectivity to nearby major town centres such as the North Sydney and Sydney CBD.

A map of existing cycle routes in the surrounding area is shown in Figure 2.8.



Figure 2.8: Surrounding Cycle Routes



Source: North Sydney Cycling Guide and Map (accessed on 16/11/23)

2.5 Traffic Volumes

TTPP commissioned traffic surveys on Thursday, 22 November 2018 between 7:30am and 9:30am and between 4:00pm and 6:00pm at the following intersections:

Little Alfred Street-Whaling Road



Neutral Street-Whaling Road.

Based on the traffic surveys, the network peak hour times were recorded between 8:00am and 9:00am (AM Peak) and between 4:45pm and 5:45pm (PM Peak). A summary of the network peak hour traffic volumes at the above nominated intersections is shown in Figure 2.9.

2018 Existing

43 0 0
7 0 0
9
10 AM Peak (8:00am-9:00am)
10 PM Peak (4:45pm-5:45pm)
10 PM Peak (4:45pm-5:45pm)
10 PM Peak (4:45pm-5:45pm)
10 PM Peak (4:45pm-5:45pm)

Figure 2.9: Existing Network Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

Based on site observations, the majority of traffic associated with the site travelled via Little Alfred Street to access the car parking areas to the rear of the site, rather than travelling via Neutral Street. It should also be noted that sufficient traffic gaps were generally observed on Alfred Street North due to the presence of the traffic signals at Alfred Street North and Mount Street. However, the existing 'Keep Clear' pavement marking on Alfred Street North also helped facilitate traffic movements from Alfred Street North into Whaling Road when southbound queues extended beyond Whaling Road.

The existing intersection performance at the above nominated intersections are further discussed in Section 5.



3 Proposed Development

3.1 Development Description

The proposed development accompanies a planning proposal seeking approval to:

- Rezone the Precinct (Sites A, B, C and D) from E2 Commercial Centre to MU1 Mixed Use
- Remove FSR controls from the Precinct (Sites A, B, C and D)
- Increase the maximum building height for Sites A and B from 13m to:
 - Site A (283 Alfred Street): RL 69.00
 - Site B (275 Alfred Street): RL 120.00
- Introduce a Design Excellence Clause and Map into the North Sydney LEP 2013 that:
 - Requires a Design Excellence Competition to be held where a proposed building on Site B (275 Alfred Steet) exceeds RL 101.00

As this is a Planning Proposal, the apartment number and mix together with the non-residential floor areas will be finalised at the future DA stage. However, for traffic analytical purposes, the indicative development scheme for the Alfred Street Precinct are as follows:

- 131 residential units (approx.)
- 540m² of retail floor space, comprising various low scale retail tenancies
- 950m² of commercial/office floor space.

The planning proposal previously split the land rezoning site into four separate sites (Sites A, B, C and D). It is however noted that no development uplift is proposed for Sites C and D (which were included in the previous 2019 scheme) based on consultation feedback received from the Department and SNPP.

On this basis, the revised development scheme for the site, which includes existing uses on Sites C and D which will remain as is under the proposal, is summarised in Table 3.1.



Table 3.1: Proposed Development Yields

Land Use	Indicative Development Yields (no. of units/GFA)					
Edita 03e	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D		
Residential						
Studio	4	23				
1 Bedroom	6	30		00		
2-Bedroom	4	50		33		
3-Bedroom	2	12	_			
Sub-Total	16	115	-	33		
Commercial/Retail						
Retail	370m ² GFA	170 m ² GFA	-	-		
Commercial	950m² GFA	-	2,200m ² GFA	-		
Sub-Total	1,320m ² GFA	10,970m ² GFA	2,200m ² GFA	-		

Whilst the above development yields are indicative only, it is not expected that the ultimate development mix would vary significantly from the above. Additionally, following the approval of the planning proposal, separate development applications for the proposed sites would be submitted to Council for approval.

3.2 Proposed Pedestrian and Vehicle Access Arrangements

It is proposed to provide a through pedestrian site link between Alfred Street and Little Alfred Street. In addition to this, the main pedestrian access for each site will be provided from Alfred Street North. In terms of vehicle access, separate vehicle access points are proposed on Little Alfred Street to service the respective basement car parking and loading dock areas for each site. This is not dissimilar to existing vehicle access arrangements to/from the site.

The proposed indicative vehicle access locations are shown in Figure 3.1.



FEDERATION CORP.

ACCESS 1918 ACCESS 1918

Figure 3.1: Proposed Indicative Vehicle Access Locations

Basemap Source: Grimshaw Architects

The concept architectural layout plans are provided in Appendix A.

3.3 Loading Facilities

Based on the existing building constraints and topography surrounding the site, at this stage, it is proposed to provide on-site loading areas for Sites A and B. These loading areas are expected to predominately cater for waste collection vehicles and occasional deliveries.

It is however expected that the existing loading zone on Alfred Street North would continue to service the site due to its proximity to the main road (where trucks travel to/from) in order to minimise truck movements along Little Alfred Street and Whaling Road.



4 Parking Assessment

4.1 Car Parking Requirement

4.1.1 North Sydney Development Control Plan

The parking assessment for this development has been assessed using the same car parking rates against Part B Section 10 (Car Parking and Transport) of the North Sydney Development Control Plan 2013 (DCP2013) and the North Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2013 (LEP2013) which were applicable at the time of the previous 2019 submission.

A summary of the above relevant maximum allowable DCP2013 car parking provision for the site is provided in Table 4.1. Sites C and D will remain as per existing uses and has not been included as part of this assessment.

Table 4.1: Car Parking Requirement (DCP2013)

Unit Type	Indicative Development Yields (no. of units/GFA)		Maximum DCP2013 Car Parking Rate*	Maximum Allowable DCP2013 Parking Provision	
	Site A	Site B	r diking kale	Site A	Site B
Studio	4	23	0.5 car space per unit	2	11
1 Bedroom	6	30	0.5 car space per unit	3	15
2-Bedroom	4	50	1 car space per unit	4	50
3-Bedroom	2	12	1 car space per unit	2	12
Sub-Total	16	115		11	88
Retail	370m²	170 m²	1 space per 400m ² GFA	0	0
Commercial	950m²	-	1 space per 400m ² GFA	2	0
Sub-Total	1,320m²	10,970m ²		2	0
	Total				

^{*}Rates applicable at the time of the 2019 submission and rounded down to the nearest integer where relevant.

Table 4.1 indicates that each site would be permitted to provide a maximum of 13 and 88 car parking spaces for Sites A and B respectively, under the maximum parking rates stipulated in the DCP2013 relevant at the time of the previous 2019 submission.

It is proposed to comply with the car parking requirements as set out in the DCP2013. In addition to this, appropriate allocation of accessible car parking spaces would be provided in accordance with DCP2013/National Construction Code Australia parking requirements.



The car park and associated elements are proposed to be designed in accordance with the design requirements set out in the relevant Australian Standards for car parking facilities.

4.1.2 State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 (SEPP 65)

In addition, it is noted that SEPP 65 states that a development application cannot be refused on car parking grounds "if the car parking for the building will be equal to, or greater than, the recommended minimum amount of car parking specified in Part 3J of the Apartment Design Guide".

More specifically, Part 3J of the Apartment Design Guide (ADG) states:

"For development...on sites that are within 800 metres of a railway station...the minimum car parking requirement for residents and visitors is set out in the Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, or the car parking requirement prescribed by the relevant council, whichever is less".

It is noted that the subject site is located approximately 800m walking distance from North Sydney Railway Station. In this regard, a parking assessment adopting the parking requirements set out in the *Guide to Traffic Generating Developments* is presented in Table 4.2. It is noted that the parking requirements referred by the ADG relates to residential use only. As such, Table 4.2 continues to adopt DCP parking rates for non-residential uses.

Table 4.2: Development Parking Requirements - ADG Requirements

Unit Type	Indicative Development Yields (no. of units)		ADG Car Parking Rate	Minimum Parking Spaces	
	Site A	Site B		Site A	Site B
Studio	4	23	0.4 car spaces per unit	2	10
1 Bedroom	6	30	0.4 car spaces per unit	3	12
2-Bedroom	4	50	0.7 car spaces per unit	3	35
3-Bedroom	2	12	1.2 car spaces per unit	3	15
Sub-Total	16	115		11	72

As such, the minimum residential parking requirements set out by the ADG are 11 and 72 spaces for sites A and B respectively. It proposed to comply with the minimum requirements of the ADG and maximum requirements of the DCP.

4.2 Bicycle Parking Requirement

The DCP2013 requires bicycle parking provision for occupants, visitors and customers of the proposed residential and commercial components of the development. It is noted that



DCP2013 stipulates a minimum bicycle parking provision to encourage the use of bicycles as an environmentally beneficial form of transport and an alternative to the use of private motor vehicles. The bicycle parking assessment for the site is provided in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3: Bicycle Parking Requirement (DCP2013)

Unit Type	Indicative Do Yie (no. of ur	lds	Minimum Bicycle Parking Rate	Minimum Parking Requirements	
	Site A	Site B	Ruic	Site A	Site B
Residential					
Tenants	1./	115	1 space per unit	16	115
Visitors	16		1 space per 10 units	2	12
Sub-Total					127
Commercial/Retail				•	
Tenants	1 200 2		1 space per 150m² GFA	9	2
Visitor/Customers	1,320m²	170m²	1 space per 400m ² GFA	4	1
Sub-Total				13	3
	Total				

Based on Table 4.3, Sites A and B would require a total minimum of 31 and 130 bicycle parking spaces respectively. Additionally, the DCP2013 specifies Class 1 or 2 secure bicycle parking facilities are to be provided for tenants of the proposed dwelling and Class 3 facilities for visitors in accordance with AS2890.3. It is proposed to comply with these parking and design requirements.

4.3 Motorcycle Parking Requirement

The DCP2013 stipulates that a maximum motorcycle parking rate of 1 space per 10 car parking spaces for mixed use developments in a B4 Mixed-Use zoning. Using this metric, the motorcycle parking requirement for each site is summarised in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4: Motorcycle Parking Requirement (DCP2013)

Sites	Maximum DCP2013 Car Parking Spaces	Maximum DCP2013 Motorcycle Parking Spaces	
Site A	13	1	
Site B	88	8	



It is proposed to satisfy the above parking requirements. The proposed motorcycle parking spaces are proposed to be designed in accordance with AS2890.1, with minimum dimensions of a 1.2m wide by 2.5m long parking space.



5 Traffic Assessment

Transport for NSW (TfNSW), formerly Roads and Maritime Services, provides traffic generation rates for different land uses in their Guide to Traffic Generating Developments (Guide), and in their technical direction TDT 2013/4a containing revised rates from the recent surveys conducted by TfNSW.

These traffic generation rates have been used for the purposes of estimating the anticipated traffic impact of the proposed development, as well as understanding the existing traffic generation of the site.

5.1 Existing Traffic Generation

As indicated previously, the existing site is currently occupied by some 33 residential flat dwellings at 263-269 Alfred Street North and 4 Little Alfred Street and three commercial office buildings at 271-273, 275 and 283 Alfred Street North. It is understood that the existing commercial office buildings permit an allowable maximum floor space of some 14,200m² GFA.

The TDT2013/04a stipulates traffic generation rates for residential flat dwellings and commercial office blocks as follows:

- Residential Flat Dwellings
 - 0.19 trips per dwelling per hour in the AM peak hour
 - 0.15 trips per dwelling per hour in the PM peak hour
- Commercial Office Blocks
 - → 1.6 trips per 100m² gross floor area per hour in the AM peak hour
 - 1.2 trips per 100m² gross floor area per hour in the PM peak hour

Using the above metrics, a summary of the potential existing traffic generation of the site is presented in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Existing Traffic Generation Potential of the Site

I mad Han	No of Dwellings / CFA	Vehicle Trips per hour		
Land Use	No. of Dwellings / GFA	AM Peak	PM Peak	
Residential	33 units	6 trips	5 trips	
Commercial/Office	14,200m²	227 trips	170 trips	
Total		233 trips	175 trips	



Table 5.1 indicates that the current site could generate in the order of 233 and 175 trips in the AM and PM peak respectively.

5.2 Future Traffic Generation

Using the same trip rates for the proposed land uses as noted above, a summary of the traffic generation potential arising from the proposed development of the site is provided in Table 5.2. It is noted that the proposed retail use is expected to serve as an ancillary purpose such that patronage to these retail uses would primarily be generated by walk-in trips from local residents and workers in the area.

The retail uses would not be a destination for retail customers. Therefore, the proposed retail uses are not expected to generate any vehicle trips. However, for the purpose of estimating the traffic generation profile of the retail use, the TfNSW suggested trip rate for commercial uses has been adopted. This approach is considered conservative.

Table 5.2: Proposed Development Traffic Generation Potential

Land Hea	No of Bourlie or / CFA	Trip Ge	eneration
Land Use	No. of Dwellings / GFA	AM Peak	PM Peak
	Sit	e A	
High density residential	16 units	3 trips	2 trips
Commercial/Retail	1,320m²	21 trips	16 trips
Sub-Toto	lc	24 trips	18 trips
	Sit	re B	
High density residential	115 units	22 trips	17 trips
Commercial/Retail	170m²	3 trips	2 trips
Sub-Toto	l	25 trips	19 trips
	Sit	e C	
Commercial/Retail	2,200m²	35 trips	26 trips
Sub-Toto	l	35 trips	26 trips
	Sit	e D	
Residential	33 units	6 trips	5 trips
Sub-Toto	l	6 trips	5 trips



Land Use	No of Dwellings / CEA	Trip Ge	neration
Lana use	No. of Dwellings / GFA	AM Peak	PM Peak
Planning Proposo (Site A + B C		49 trips	37 trips
Total Site (Site A + B + C		90 trips	68 trips

Table 5.2 indicates that by adopting traffic rates, the proposal is theoretically anticipated to generate a total of 90 and 68 trips in the AM and PM peak hours respectively. This includes the existing uses on Sites C and D which will remain as is as part of the proposal.

For Sites A and B (i.e. of which the revised planning proposal relates to), it is anticipated that the proposed land uses would generate 49 and 37 two-way trips in the AM and PM peak hours respectively. This level of development traffic is considered low and equates to one vehicle every 1-2 minutes.

Therefore, the proposal could not be expected to result in any adverse traffic impact on the surrounding road network. Further to this, no deduction of the existing site traffic has been considered as part of this traffic assessment. This is further discussed below.

5.3 Net Traffic Generation

The net change in traffic generation between the existing potential and future land uses of the site are summarised in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3: Net Changes in Traffic Generation

Traffic Generation	AM Peak	PM Peak
Existing Traffic Generation	233	175
Future Traffic Generation	90	68
Net Change	-143	-107

Table 5.3 indicates that the proposed development could in theory result in a net reduction in traffic when compared to the existing potential traffic generation of the site. This is a result of the proposed development significantly reducing the commercial floor area onsite compared to existing conditions, which typically generate a higher rate of traffic than high density residential.

For the purpose of this traffic assessment, the existing development potential of the site has been excluded as part of this traffic assessment in order to provide a more rigorous traffic assessment, i.e. assuming the proposal would generate an additional 49 trips (AM) and 37 trips (PM) onto the surrounding road network. In reality, the proposal is expected to generate



less than this as the existing site is currently occupied and therefore, already generates some traffic on the road network.

5.4 Traffic Distribution

The directional distribution and assignment of traffic generated by the proposed sites are based on the following assumptions:

- residential trips: 20% inbound / 80% outbound movements (AM Peak); 80% inbound / 20% outbound movements (PM Peak)
- commercial/retail trips: 80% inbound / 20% outbound movements (AM Peak); 20% inbound / 80% outbound movements (PM Peak)
- all vehicle access points within the precinct will be via Little Alfred Street
- all inbound and outbound traffic would approach/depart the site from Alfred Street
 North
- 90% of traffic generated by the proposed sites will use Little Alfred Street-Whaling Road intersection
- 10% of traffic generated by the proposed sites will use Neutral Street-Whaling Road intersection.

In addition, annual background traffic growth was not considered as part of the traffic modelling analysis as the existing land uses east of the site are low density residential dwellings, which are not expected to be rezoned to significant land uses in the future. Therefore, the main future traffic generator in the area is expected to be from the Alfred Precinct site, which has been accounted for in our assessment.

5.5 Traffic Modelling Scenarios

The following modelling scenarios have been undertaken to provide an analysis of the potential traffic impact of the proposed development on the surrounding road network:

- Scenario 1 (\$1): Existing Base Case This scenario includes the current performance of the road network using the surveyed traffic flows shown in Figure 2.9
- Scenario 2 (S2): Proposed Development Case this scenario includes the \$1 traffic and the development traffic associated with the proposed development sites as outlined in Table 5.2.

5.6 Verification of 2018 Traffic Surveys

TTPP has reviewed the nearest permanent traffic count located on Military Road in Cremorne (Station ID 22001) to compare 2018 and 2023 traffic volumes. A summary of this comparison is provided in Figure 5.1.






Figure 5.1: Comparison of 2018 and 2023 Traffic Volumes

Figure 5.1 indicates that traffic volumes in 2018 and 2023 are generally comparable, noting that there were slightly higher traffic volumes in 2018 when compared to 2023, particularly during evening peak commuter times.

On this basis, TTPP is of the view that the 2018 traffic surveys would still be an appropriate representation of current traffic conditions. Therefore, the previous traffic surveys have been retained as part of this traffic assessment, specifically for traffic modelling purposes.

5.7 Traffic Volumes

The existing base case traffic and anticipated development traffic in the AM and PM peak hours are presented in Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3 respectively. The numbers shown in green are the traffic associated with the rezoned precinct and the number shown in black are the existing surveyed volumes.

As indicated previously, the traffic generated by the existing buildings within the site are included in the traffic modelling as a conservative measure to assess the traffic implications arising from the proposal.



Figure 5.2: \$2 Traffic Volumes (AM Peak)





Figure 5.3: \$2 Traffic Volumes (PM Peak)



5.8 Intersection Capacity Assessment

The traffic effects of the proposal on the surrounding intersections have been assessed using SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1, a computer based traffic modelling package which assesses intersection performance under prevailing traffic conditions.

The SIDRA modelling software was used for the following intersections:

- Little Alfred Street-Whaling Road
- Neutral Street-Whaling Road



It is noted that the proposed development traffic is not expected to adversely impact on the existing Alfred Street North-Whaling Road intersection as sufficient traffic gaps are provided on Alfred Street North due to the presence of the traffic signals at Mount Street and Alfred Street North and existing geometry of the road – i.e. one way southbound on Alfred Street North such that left-turn and right-turn movements into Whaling Road can easily be accommodated on the road. Therefore, the Alfred Street North-Whaling Road intersection has been excluded as part of this intersection capacity assessment.

Intersection configurations were sourced from aerial imagery and the traffic conditions were calibrated to the conditions observed during the traffic surveys.

The SIDRA modelling determines the intersection capacity based on the level of service (LoS). LoS is a basic performance parameter used to describe the operation of an intersection. Levels of service indicators range from A (indicating good intersection operation) to F (indicating over-saturated conditions with long delays and queues).

At priority controlled (give-way and stop controlled) and roundabout intersection, the LoS is based on the modelled delay (seconds per vehicle) for the most delayed movement (refer to Table 5.4).

Table 5.4: Level of Service for Intersection Operation

Level of Service	Average Delay (seconds per vehicle)	Traffic Signals, Roundabout	Give Way and Stop Signs
А	Less than 14	Good operation	Good operation
В	15 to 28	Good with acceptable delays and spare capacity	Acceptable delays and spare capacity
С	29 to 42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but accident study required
D	43 to 56	Operating near capacity	Near capacity and accident study required
E	57 to 70	At capacity, at signals, incidents will cause excessive delays, Roundabouts require other control mode	At capacity, requires other control mode
F	Greater than 70	Unsatisfactory with excessive queuing	Unsatisfactory with excessive queuing; requires other control mode

Souce: Roads and Maritime Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, 2002

Based on the traffic volumes in Sections 2.5 and 5.7, a summary of the SIDRA network modelling results for each scenario is presented in Table 5.5. The full movement summaries are provided in Appendix B.



Table 5.5: Intersection Operation Results

			AM Peak			PM Peak	
Scenario	Intersection	95th Percentile Queue (m)	Ave. Delay (sec/veh)	Level of Service (LoS)	95th Percentile Queue (m)	Ave. Delay (sec/veh)	Level of Service (LoS)
\$1 Existing Base	Little Alfred St- Whaling Rd	1	6	А	1	6	А
Case (No Dev)	Neutral St- Whaling Rd	1	6	А	1	6	А
S2 Proposed	Little Alfred St- Whaling Rd	1	6	Α	2	6	А
Development Case (With Dev)	Neutral St- Whaling Rd	1	6	Α	1	6	А

Table 5.5 indicates that the proposed additional traffic generated by the proposal would not result in any noticeable impact on the surrounding road network. The assessed intersections would continue to operate at LoS A during peak periods with the proposed development traffic. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required as the proposed development traffic can be satisfactorily accommodated on the existing road network.



6 Conclusion

This report accompanies a planning proposal seeking approval to rezone the Alfred Street precinct. The planning proposal has been updated to reflect extensive consultation feedback received from the Department of Planning and District Planning Panel from the previous 2019 submission.

The salient findings of this report are presented below.

- The planning proposal has split the land rezoning site into four separate sites (Sites A, B, C and D).
- The revised scheme only contains development uplifts on Sites A and B. No development uplifts are proposed on Sites C and D as per consultation feedback from the previous 2019 scheme.
- Separate vehicular access to each site would be provided from Little Alfred Street.
- The maximum car parking spaces required for each site in accordance with North Sydney DCP2013 relevant at the time of the previous submission are as follows:
 - Site A is required to provide a maximum of 13 car parking spaces including 11 residential tenant parking spaces and 2 commercial/retail parking spaces.
 - Site B is required to provide a maximum of 88 residential tenant car parking spaces.
- A minimum of 31 and 130 bicycle parking spaces are to be provided in Sites A and B respectively in accordance with North Sydney DCP2013.
- A maximum of 1 and 8 motorcycle parking spaces are to be provided in Sites A and B respectively in accordance with North Sydney DCP2013.
- The proposal (Sites A and B) has been estimated to generate 49vph (AM) and 38vph (PM), which is considered low (i.e., one vehicle every 1-2 minutes).
- The full development traffic from the revised scheme has been assessed with no deductions of the existing site traffic to ensure a more rigorous traffic assessment for the purpose of the planning proposal.
- The traffic modelling results indicate that the modelled intersections at Little Alfred Street-Whaling Road and Neutral Street-Whaling Road intersections would continue to operate well at LoS A during both peak periods.

As such, the proposal is not expected to result in any significant traffic impacts on the surrounding road network and therefore, is considered acceptable from a traffic perspective.



Appendix A

Concept Layout Plans



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

© COPYRIGHT GRIMSHAW ARCHITECTS
PTY LIMITED
UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED IN WRITING:
ALL RIGHTS TO USE THIS DOCUMENT ARE
SUBJECT TO PAYMENT IN FULL OF ALL
GRIMSHAW CHARGES. THIS DOCUMEN'S
PURPOSE AND PROJECT FOR WHICH IT
HAS BEEN CREATED AND DELIVERED, AS
NOTHED IN WRITING BY GRIMSHAW; AND
THIS DOCUMENT MAY NOT BE OTHERWISE
USED, OR COPIED.
ANY UNAUTHORISED USE OF THIS
DOCUMENT IS AT THE USER'S SOLE RISK
AND WITHOUT LIMITING GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE USER RELEASES AND
INDEMNIFIES GRIMSHAW FROM AND
AGAINST ALL LOSS SO ARISING

REV. DATE DESCRIPTION

A 04.02.2019 PLANNING PROPOSAL

B 11.11.2023 PLANNING PROPOSAL

Benmill Pty Ltd 275 Alfred St Level 7, North Sydney New South Wales 2060

263-283 ALFRED STREET

GRIMSHAW PROJECT NO.

 \bigcirc SCALE 1:500


GROUND FLOOR - RETAIL AUTHORISED RS DRAWN CHECK

REVISION

DRAWING NUMBER

A03 1004

PLANNING PROPOSAL



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

REV. DATE DESCRIPTION

A 04.02.2019 PLANNING PROPOSAL

B 11.11.2023 PLANNING PROPOSAL INITELECTUL PROPERTY

© COPPRIGHT GRIMSHAW ARCHITECTS
PTY LIMITED.

UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED IN WRITING;
ALL RIGHTS TO USE THIS DOCUMENT ARE
SUBJECT TO PAYMENT IN FULL OF ALL
GRIMSHAW CHARGES; THIS DOCUMENS
ANY OSCIE LED FOR THE SOCIAL PROPERTY
HAS BEEN CREATED AND DELIVERED, AS
NOTIFIED IN WRITING BY GRIMSHAW: AND
THIS DOCUMENT MAY NOT BE OTHERWISE
USED, OR COPIED.

Benmill Pty Ltd 275 Alfred St Level 7, North Sydney New South Wales 2060

DRAWING KEY

PROJECT NORTH ALFRED PRECINT

ADDRESS 263-283 ALFRED STREET


GRIMSHAW PROJECT NO. 13119

 \bigcirc SCALE 1:500 LEVEL B1 DRAWN CHECKED RR.SL RS

AUTHORISEI RS

REVISION **B**

DRAWING NUMBER A03 1003 PLANNING PROPOSAL



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

© COPYRIGHT GRIMSHAW ARCHITECTS
PTY LIMITED.
PTY LIMITED.
ALL RIGHTS TO USE THIS DOCUMENT ARE
SUBJECT TO PAYMENT IN FULL OF ALL
GRIMSHAW CHARGES; THIS DOCUMENT
MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE EXPRESS
PURPOSE AND PROJECT FOR WHICH IT
HAS BEEN CREATED AND DELIVERED, AS
NOTIFIED IN WITHING BY GRIMSHAW; AND
THIS DOCUMENT MAY NOT BE OTHERWISE
LIMITED THE CONTROL OF THIS
DOCUMENT IS AT THE USER'S OLE RISK
AND WITHOUT LIMITING GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE USER RELEASES AND
INDEMNIFIES GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE USER RELEASES AND
INDEMNIFIES GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE LOSE RO ARISING
AGAINST ALL LOSS DO ARISING

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

REV. DATE DESCRIPTION

A 04.02.2019 PLANNING PROPOSAL

B 11.11.2023 PLANNING PROPOSAL

Benmill Pty Ltd 275 Alfred St Level 7, North Sydney New South Wales 2060

DRAWING KEY

PROJECT


NORTH ALFRED PRECINT ADDRESS 263-283 ALFRED STREET

GRIMSHAW PROJECT NO. 13119

 \bigcirc SCALE 1:500 LEVEL B2

DRAWN CHECKED RR.SL RS AUTHORISEI RS

DRAWING NUMBER A03 1002 PLANNING PROPOSAL



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

© COPYRIGHT GRIMSHAW ARCHITECTS
PTY LIMITED.
PTY LIMITED.
ALL RIGHTS TO USE THIS DOCUMENT ARE
SUBJECT TO PAYMENT IN FULL OF ALL
GRIMSHAW CHARGES; THIS DOCUMENT
MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE EXPRESS
PURPOSE AND PROJECT FOR WHICH IT
HAS BEEN CREATED AND DELIVERED, AS
NOTIFIED IN WITHING BY GRIMSHAW; AND
THIS DOCUMENT MAY NOT BE OTHERWISE
LIMITED THE CONTROL OF THIS
DOCUMENT IS AT THE USER'S OLE RISK
AND WITHOUT LIMITING GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE USER RELEASES AND
INDEMNIFIES GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE USER RELEASES AND
INDEMNIFIES GRIMSHAWS
RIGHTS THE LOSE RO ARISING
AGAINST ALL LOSS DO ARISING

REV. DATE DESCRIPTION

A 04.02.2019 PLANNING PROPOSAL

B 11.11.2023 PLANNING PROPOSAL

Benmill Pty Ltd 275 Alfred St Level 7, North Sydney New South Wales 2060

DRAWING KEY

PROJECT NORTH ALFRED PRECINT

ADDRESS 263-283 ALFRED STREET

GRIMSHAW PROJECT NO. 13119

 \bigcirc SCALE 1:500

LEVEL B3 DRAWN CHECKED RR.SL RS AUTHORISEI RS

DRAWING NUMBER A03 1001 PLANNING PROPOSAL



Appendix B

SIDRA Results

V Site: 101v [Existing - AM Peak - Whaling Road/Little Alfred

Street (Site Folder: Existing Base Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle Mo	ovement	Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov ID	Turn	Mov Class		ows	FI	rival lows	Deg. Satn	Aver. Delay	Level of Service	Qu	ack Of eue	Prop. Que	Eff. Stop	Aver. No. of	Aver. Speed
			[Total veh/h		[Total veh/h	HV] %	v/c	sec		[Veh. veh	Dist] m		Rate	Cycles	km/h
South	ı: Little	Alfred St	reet												
1	L2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.004	5.8	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.21	0.53	0.21	52.5
2	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.004	4.8	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.21	0.53	0.21	52.9
3	R2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.004	6.1	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.21	0.53	0.21	52.2
Appro	oach		5	0.0	5	0.0	0.004	5.7	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.21	0.53	0.21	52.5
East:	Whalir	ng Road													
4	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.048	5.6	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	57.4
5	T1	All MCs	91	1.0	91	1.0	0.048	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.8
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.048	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	57.0
Appro	oach		93	1.0	93	1.0	0.048	0.1	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.8
North	: Little	Alfred St	reet												
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.009	5.7	LOSA	0.0	0.2	0.23	0.55	0.23	52.4
8	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.009	4.7	LOSA	0.0	0.2	0.23	0.55	0.23	52.8
9	R2	All MCs	7	0.0	7	0.0	0.009	6.2	LOSA	0.0	0.2	0.23	0.55	0.23	52.1
Appro	oach		9	0.0	9	0.0	0.009	6.0	LOSA	0.0	0.2	0.23	0.55	0.23	52.2
West	Whali	ng Road													
10	L2	All MCs	36	0.0	36	0.0	0.048	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.25	0.01	55.4
11	T1	All MCs	54	1.0	54	1.0	0.048	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.25	0.01	57.8
12	R2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.048	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.25	0.01	55.1
Appro	ach		92	0.6	92	0.6	0.048	2.3	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.25	0.01	56.8
All Ve	hicles		199	0.7	199	0.7	0.048	1.6	NA	0.0	0.2	0.03	0.16	0.03	57.8

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:16 PM

V Site: 101v [Existing - PM Peak - Whaling Road/Little Alfred

Street (Site Folder: Existing Base Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle Mo	ovemen	t Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov	Turn	Mov	Dem			rival	Deg.	Aver.	Level of		ack Of	Prop.	Eff.	Aver.	Aver.
ID		Class		lows HV 1	Fi Total	ows HV 1	Satn	Delay	Service	Qu [Veh.	eue Dist]	Que	Stop Rate	No. of Cycles	Speed
			veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		riato		km/h
South	: Little	Alfred St	treet												
1	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.003	5.7	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.18	0.52	0.18	52.7
2	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.003	4.6	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.18	0.52	0.18	53.2
3	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.003	6.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.18	0.52	0.18	52.5
Appro	ach		3	0.0	3	0.0	0.003	5.4	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.18	0.52	0.18	52.8
East:	Whalii	ng Road													
4	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.031	5.6	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	57.3
5	T1	All MCs	58	1.0	58	1.0	0.031	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.8
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.031	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	57.0
Appro	ach		60	1.0	60	1.0	0.031	0.2	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.7
North	: Little	Alfred St	reet												
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.048	5.7	LOSA	0.2	1.1	0.23	0.58	0.23	52.3
8	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.048	4.6	LOSA	0.2	1.1	0.23	0.58	0.23	52.7
9	R2	All MCs	45	0.0	45	0.0	0.048	6.1	LOSA	0.2	1.1	0.23	0.58	0.23	52.1
Appro	ach		47	0.0	47	0.0	0.048	6.0	LOSA	0.2	1.1	0.23	0.58	0.23	52.1
West	Whali	ing Road													
10	L2	All MCs	12	0.0	12	0.0	0.042	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.10	0.01	56.6
11	T1	All MCs	66	1.0	66	1.0	0.042	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.10	0.01	59.0
12	R2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.042	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.10	0.01	56.3
Appro	ach		80	0.8	80	8.0	0.042	0.9	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.10	0.01	58.6
All Ve	hicles		191	0.7	191	0.7	0.048	2.0	NA	0.2	1.1	0.07	0.20	0.07	57.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:17 PM

V Site: 101 [Existing - AM Peak - Whaling Road/Neutral Street

(Site Folder: Existing Base Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle Mo	ovement	Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov ID	Turn	Mov Class		lows HV]		rival lows HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service		Back Of eue Dist] m	Prop. Que	Eff. Stop Rate	Aver. No. of Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Whali	ng Road													
5	T1	All MCs	65	1.0	65	1.0	0.033	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.9
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.033	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	57.2
Appro	ach		66	1.0	66	1.0	0.033	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.8
North	: Neut	ral Street													
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.024	5.6	LOSA	0.1	0.5	0.18	0.56	0.18	52.4
9	R2	All MCs	27	0.0	27	0.0	0.024	5.9	LOSA	0.1	0.5	0.18	0.56	0.18	52.2
Appro	ach		28	0.0	28	0.0	0.024	5.9	LOSA	0.1	0.5	0.18	0.56	0.18	52.2
West	Whal	ing Road													
10	L2	All MCs	25	0.0	25	0.0	0.033	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.23	0.00	55.6
11	T1	All MCs	39	1.0	39	1.0	0.033	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.23	0.00	57.9
Appro	ach		64	0.6	64	0.6	0.033	2.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.23	0.00	57.0
All Ve	hicles		159	0.7	159	0.7	0.033	2.0	NA	0.1	0.5	0.04	0.20	0.04	57.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:17 PM

V Site: 101 [Existing - PM Peak - Whaling Road/Neutral Street

(Site Folder: Existing Base Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle M	ovement	Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov ID	Turn	Mov Class		lows HV]		rival lows HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service		Back Of eue Dist] m	Prop. Que	Eff. Stop Rate	Aver. No. of Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Whali	ng Road													
5	T1	All MCs	36	1.0	36	1.0	0.018	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.8
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.018	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	57.1
Appro	ach		37	1.0	37	1.0	0.018	0.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.7
North	: Neut	ral Street													
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.017	5.6	LOSA	0.1	0.4	0.14	0.55	0.14	52.5
9	R2	All MCs	20	0.0	20	0.0	0.017	5.7	LOSA	0.1	0.4	0.14	0.55	0.14	52.3
Appro	ach		21	0.0	21	0.0	0.017	5.7	LOSA	0.1	0.4	0.14	0.55	0.14	52.4
West:	Whal	ing Road													
10	L2	All MCs	16	0.0	16	0.0	0.026	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.18	0.00	56.0
11	T1	All MCs	36	1.0	36	1.0	0.026	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.18	0.00	58.3
Appro	ach		52	0.7	52	0.7	0.026	1.7	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.18	0.00	57.6
All Ve	hicles		109	0.7	109	0.7	0.026	2.0	NA	0.1	0.4	0.03	0.20	0.03	57.2

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:18 PM

V Site: 101v [Dev+Existing - AM Peak - Whaling Road/Little

Alfred Street (Site Folder: Future Dev Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle Mo	ovemen	t Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov	Turn	Mov	Dem			rival	Deg.	Aver.	Level of		ack Of	Prop.	Eff.	Aver.	Aver.
ID		Class		lows HV 1	Fi Total	lows HV 1	Satn	Delay	Service	Qu [Veh.	eue Dist]	Que	Stop Rate	No. of Cycles	Speed
			veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m		riato	0 7 0 10 0	km/h
South	: Little	Alfred St	treet												
1	L2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.005	5.8	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.22	0.53	0.22	52.5
2	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.005	4.9	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.22	0.53	0.22	52.9
3	R2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.005	6.1	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.22	0.53	0.22	52.2
Appro	ach		5	0.0	5	0.0	0.005	5.7	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.22	0.53	0.22	52.5
East:	Whalii	ng Road													
4	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.049	5.6	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	57.4
5	T1	All MCs	93	1.0	93	1.0	0.049	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.8
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.049	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	57.0
Appro	ach		95	1.0	95	1.0	0.049	0.1	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.8
North	: Little	Alfred St	reet												
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.034	5.7	LOSA	0.1	8.0	0.26	0.58	0.26	52.2
8	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.034	4.8	LOSA	0.1	0.8	0.26	0.58	0.26	52.7
9	R2	All MCs	31	0.0	31	0.0	0.034	6.3	LOSA	0.1	0.8	0.26	0.58	0.26	52.0
Appro	ach		33	0.0	33	0.0	0.034	6.2	LOSA	0.1	8.0	0.26	0.58	0.26	52.0
West:	Whali	ing Road													
10	L2	All MCs	59	0.0	59	0.0	0.062	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.31	0.01	54.9
11	T1	All MCs	56	1.0	56	1.0	0.062	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.31	0.01	57.2
12	R2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.062	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.31	0.01	54.6
Appro	ach		117	0.5	117	0.5	0.062	2.9	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.31	0.01	56.0
All Ve	hicles		249	0.6	249	0.6	0.062	2.3	NA	0.1	0.8	0.05	0.24	0.05	56.7

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:18 PM

V Site: 101v [Dev+Existing - PM Peak - Whaling Road/Little

Alfred Street (Site Folder: Future Dev Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehi	cle Mo	ovement	t Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov	Turn	Mov	Dem			rival	Deg.	Aver.	Level of		Back Of	Prop.	Eff.	Aver.	Aver.
ID		Class		lows HV 1	Fi [Total]	lows HV 1	Satn	Delay	Service	્રા Veh.	ueue Dist]	Que	Stop Rate	No. of Cycles	Speed
			veh/h		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m			-,	km/h
South	n: Little	Alfred St	reet												
1	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.003	5.7	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.19	0.52	0.19	52.7
2	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.003	4.7	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.19	0.52	0.19	53.1
3	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.003	6.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.19	0.52	0.19	52.4
Appro	oach		3	0.0	3	0.0	0.003	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.19	0.52	0.19	52.8
East:	Whalir	ng Road													
4	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.032	5.6	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	57.3
5	T1	All MCs	60	1.0	60	1.0	0.032	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.8
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.032	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	57.0
Appro	oach		62	1.0	62	1.0	0.032	0.2	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.7
North	: Little	Alfred St	reet												
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.066	5.7	LOSA	0.2	1.5	0.24	0.59	0.24	52.3
8	T1	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.066	4.7	LOSA	0.2	1.5	0.24	0.59	0.24	52.7
9	R2	All MCs	62	0.0	62	0.0	0.066	6.2	LOSA	0.2	1.5	0.24	0.59	0.24	52.0
Appro	oach		64	0.0	64	0.0	0.066	6.1	LOSA	0.2	1.5	0.24	0.59	0.24	52.0
West	: Whali	ng Road													
10	L2	All MCs	29	0.0	29	0.0	0.052	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.19	0.01	55.9
11	T1	All MCs	68	1.0	68	1.0	0.052	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.19	0.01	58.3
12	R2	All MCs	2	0.0	2	0.0	0.052	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.19	0.01	55.6
Appro	oach		100	0.7	100	0.7	0.052	1.8	NA	0.0	0.1	0.01	0.19	0.01	57.5
All Ve	ehicles		229	0.6	229	0.6	0.066	2.6	NA	0.2	1.5	0.08	0.26	0.08	56.3

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:19 PM

▽ Site: 101 [Dev+Existing - AM Peak - Whaling Road/Neutral

Street (Site Folder: Future Dev Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehic	cle Mo	ovement	Perfo	rma	nce										
Mov ID	Turn	Mov Class		ows HV]		rival lows HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service		Back Of eue Dist] m	Prop. Que	Eff. Stop Rate	Aver. No. of Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East:	Whaliı	ng Road													
5	T1	All MCs	65	1.0	65	1.0	0.033	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.9
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.033	5.5	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	57.2
Appro	ach		66	1.0	66	1.0	0.033	0.1	NA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.01	59.8
North	: Neuti	ral Street													
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.026	5.6	LOSA	0.1	0.6	0.18	0.56	0.18	52.4
9	R2	All MCs	29	0.0	29	0.0	0.026	5.9	LOS A	0.1	0.6	0.18	0.56	0.18	52.2
Appro	ach		31	0.0	31	0.0	0.026	5.9	LOSA	0.1	0.6	0.18	0.56	0.18	52.2
West:	Whali	ing Road													
10	L2	All MCs	27	0.0	27	0.0	0.034	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.24	0.00	55.5
11	T1	All MCs	39	1.0	39	1.0	0.034	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.24	0.00	57.8
Appro	ach		66	0.6	66	0.6	0.034	2.3	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.24	0.00	56.8
All Ve	hicles		163	0.6	163	0.6	0.034	2.1	NA	0.1	0.6	0.04	0.21	0.04	57.1

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:19 PM

V Site: 101 [Dev+Existing - PM Peak - Whaling Road/Neutral

Street (Site Folder: Future Dev Case)]

Output produced by SIDRA INTERSECTION Version: 9.1.5.224

New Site

Site Category: (None) Give-Way (Two-Way)

Vehicle Movement Performance															
Mov ID	Turn	Mov Class		lows HV]		rival lows HV] %	Deg. Satn v/c	Aver. Delay sec	Level of Service		Back Of leue Dist] m	Prop. Que	Eff. Stop Rate	Aver. No. of Cycles	Aver. Speed km/h
East: Whaling Road															
5	T1	All MCs	36	1.0	36	1.0	0.018	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.8
6	R2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.018	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	57.1
Appro	ach		37	1.0	37	1.0	0.018	0.2	NA	0.0	0.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	59.7
North	Neut	ral Street													
7	L2	All MCs	1	0.0	1	0.0	0.019	5.6	LOSA	0.1	0.4	0.15	0.56	0.15	52.5
9	R2	All MCs	22	0.0	22	0.0	0.019	5.7	LOSA	0.1	0.4	0.15	0.56	0.15	52.3
Appro	ach		23	0.0	23	0.0	0.019	5.7	LOSA	0.1	0.4	0.15	0.56	0.15	52.3
West: Whaling Road															
10	L2	All MCs	18	0.0	18	0.0	0.027	5.5	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	55.9
11	T1	All MCs	36	1.0	36	1.0	0.027	0.0	LOSA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	58.2
Approach		54	0.7	54	0.7	0.027	1.9	NA	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.20	0.00	57.4	
All Ve	hicles		114	0.6	114	0.6	0.027	2.1	NA	0.1	0.4	0.03	0.21	0.03	57.0

Site Level of Service (LOS) Method: Delay (RTA NSW). Site LOS Method is specified in the Parameter Settings dialog (Options tab).

Vehicle movement LOS values are based on average delay per movement.

Minor Road Approach LOS values are based on average delay for all vehicle movements.

NA (TWSC): Level of Service is not defined for major road approaches or the intersection as a whole for Two-Way Sign Control (HCM LOS rule).

Two-Way Sign Control Capacity Model: SIDRA Standard.

Delay Model: SIDRA Standard (Control Delay: Geometric Delay is included).

Queue Model: SIDRA queue estimation methods are used for Back of Queue and Queue at Start of Gap.

Gap-Acceptance Capacity Formula: SIDRA Standard (Akçelik M3D).

HV (%) values are calculated for All Movement Classes of All Heavy Vehicle Model Designation.

Arrival Flows used in performance calculations are adjusted to include any Initial Queued Demand and Upstream Capacity Constraint effects.

SIDRA INTERSECTION 9.1 | Copyright © 2000-2023 Akcelik and Associates Pty Ltd | sidrasolutions.com

Organisation: TTPP - THE TRANSPORT PLANNING PARTNERSHIP | Licence: NETWORK / 1PC | Processed: Thursday, 16 November 2023 5:14:20 PM

 $Project: \ C: \ Users \ | SIDRA_190308.sip9 \ | Sides \ |$

The Transport Planning Partnership Suite 402 Level 4, 22 Atchison Street St Leonards NSW 2065

> P.O. Box 237 St Leonards NSW 1590

> > 02 8437 7800

info@ttpp.net.au

www.ttpp.net.au